

**TQF.5 Course Report**

**Course Code :** HIL2427

**Course Title :** French 2

**Credits :** 3 (3-0-6)

**Semester /Academic Year :** 2/2020

**Students :** Bachelor of Arts Program in Hotel and Hospitality Management

**Lecturer(s) :** Asst. Prof. Dr. Kannapat Kankaew

College of Hospitality Industry Management

Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University

**Course Report**

|  |
| --- |
| **Institution** : Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University  **Campus/Faculty/Department** : College of Hospitality Industry Management |
|  |

**Section1: General Information**

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Course Code and Title :** HIL2427 French 2 |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Pre-requisite (if any) :** French 1 |

|  |
| --- |
| **3. Faculty Member(s) Teaching the Course and Sections**  Asst. Prof. Dr. Kannapat Kankaew  **Sections:** 1 Room No. 403 |

|  |
| --- |
| **4. Semester and Academic Year**  Semester 2 Academic Year 2020 |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. Venue** College of Hospitality Industry Management  Suan Sunandha Rajabhat University, Nakornpathom Campus |

**Section 2 : Actual Teaching Hours Compared with Teaching Hours Specified in the Teaching Plan**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **1. Number of actual teaching hours compared with the teaching plan** | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Topics | | | No. of teaching hours in the plan | | No. of actual teaching hours | | | | Reason(s) (in case the discrepancy is more than 25%) | | |
| Introduction to French 2 | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| French language for Communication  Level 2: Restaurants | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Various vocabulary for Hospitality | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Vocabulary  Four Skills  Development | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Ontogenesis of the French Language for Hospitality | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Skills Developments for restaurants | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Reviews/Discussions & Pre-Assessments | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Midterm | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Skills Development: Reading in French  Level 2 | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Skills Development: Pronunciation | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Develop sequence Numbers | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Cooperative Learning: Case study applied to Restaurants and Catering  Level 2 | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Cooperative Learning: Case study applied to Service Management  Level 2 | | | 3 | | 0 | | | | Songkran Holidays/ e-learning & assign homework | | |
| Role Plays & Mind map applied to Catering Management  Level 2 | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Problem Based-Learning applied to Intermediary Vocabulary | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Final Review and Discussions | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| Final Examination | | | 3 | | 3 | | | |  | | |
| **Total** | | |  | |  | | | |  | | |
| **2. Topics that couldn’t be taught as planned** | | | | | | | | | | |
| Topics that couldn’t be taught (if any) | | Significance of the topics that couldn’t be taught | | | | | Compensation | | | |
| Vocabulary for hotel services | | vocabulary for hotel | | | | | e-learning and assign homework | | | |
|  | | | | | | | | | | |
| **3. Effectiveness of the teaching methods specified in the Course Specification** | | | | | | | | | |
| Learning Outcomes | Teaching methods specified in the course specification | | | Effectiveness  (Use 🗸) | | | | Problems of the teaching method(s) (if any) and suggestions | |
| Yes | | No | |
| 1. Morals and Ethics | Attendance/Discipline | | | 🗸 | | - | |  | |
| 2. Knowledge | Midterm Test & Final Examination | | | 🗸 | | - | |  | |
| 3. Cognitive Skills | Assignments/Reports | | | 🗸 | | - | |  | |
| 4. Interpersonal Skills and Responsibilities | Group/Pair work | | | ✓ | | - | |  | |
| 5. Numerical Analysis, Communication and Information Technology Skills | E-Learning | | | 🗸 | | - | |  | |
| 6. Learning Management Skills |  | | | 🗸 | | - | |  | |

|  |
| --- |
|  |
| **4. Suggestions for Improving Teaching Methods** |

**Section 3 : Course Outcomes**

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Number of registered students** : 7 |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Number of students at the end of semester** : 7 |

|  |
| --- |
| **3 Number of students who withdrew (W)** : - |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **4. Grade distribution**   |  |  |  | | --- | --- | --- | | Grade | No. of students | Percentage | | A | 2 | 29 | | A- |  |  | | B+ | 5 | 71 | | B |  |  | | B- |  |  | | C+ |  |  | | C |  |  | | C- |  |  | | D |  |  | | F |  |  | | Incomplete (I) |  |  | |

|  |
| --- |
| **5. Factors causing unusual distribution of grades (If any)** |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **6. Discrepancies in the evaluation plan specified in the Course Specification** | |
| 6.1 Discrepancy in evaluation time frame | |
| Details of Discrepancy | Reasons |
| - | - |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| 6.2 Discrepancy in evaluation methods | |
| Details of Discrepancy | Reasons |
| - | - |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **7. Verification of students’ achievements** | |
| Verification Method(s) | Verification Result(s) |
|  |  |

**Section 4 : Problems and Impacts**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Teaching and learning resources** | |
| **Teaching Problems:** | **Impacts on students’ learning :** |
| **Learning Resources Problems:** | **Impacts on students’ learning :** |

**2. Administration and organization**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **Problems from administration** | **Impacts on students’ learning** |
| **Problems from organization** | **Impacts on students’ learning** |

**Section 5 : Course Evaluation**

|  |
| --- |
| **1. Results of course evaluation by students** |
| * 1. Important comments from evaluation by students |
| 1.2 Faculty members’ opinions on the comments in 1.1 |
| **2. Results of course evaluation by other evaluation methods** |
| 2.1 Important comments from evaluation by other evaluation methods |
| 2.2 Faculty members’ opinions on the comments in 2.1 |

**Section 6 : Improvement Plan**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| **1. Progress of teaching and learning improvement recommended in the previous Course Report** | |
| Improvement plan proposed in Semester…  Academic year ……..   1. …………………………………… 2. …………………………………… 3. …………………………………… | Results of the plan implementation (In case no action was taken nor completed, reasons must be provided.)   1. ………………………………… 2. ………………………………… 3. ………………………………… |

|  |
| --- |
| **2. Other improvements** |

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **3. Suggestions for improvement for Semester 1 Academic year 2021** | | |
| Suggestions | Time Frame | Responsible person |
| Use interactive program to support teaching & learning | Academic year 2021 | Lecturer |
| **4. Suggestions of faculty member(s) responsible for the course** | | |

**Responsible Faculty Member/Coordinator:** Asst. Prof. Dr. Kannapat Kankaew

Signature......................................... Submission Date....................................

**Chairperson/Program Director:** ……………………………………..…………….

Signature............................................. Receipt Date ........................................